

# Generalization of Banach, Goebel and Kirk Fixed Point Theorems

Kalyan V. A.<sup>1</sup> and Dolhare U. P.<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Mathematics, DSM's College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Parbhani (M.S.) India

Email: kalyankarved11@gmail.com

2 Department of Mathematics, DSM's College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Jintur, Dist. Parbhani (M.S.) India

Email: uttamdolhare121@gmail.com

## Abstract:

The Banach contraction principle [1] is the first important result on fixed points for contractive type mappings. In 1969 Kannan [9], in 1972 Chatterjee [4] and in 2001 Rhoades B. E. [13] gave some interesting results on fixed points. Boyd and Wong [2] obtained more general fixed point theorem by replacing the decreasing function in the theorem of Rakotch [14] and it was further generalized by Nalawade and Dolhare U. P. [12]. In this paper our aim is to discuss about fixed point theory. We have also established fixed point theorem in complete Metric Space, which is a new generalized result in fixed point theory.

**Keywords** — Fixed point, Fixed point theorem, Contraction mapping, Weakly contraction mapping.

## I. DEFINITIONS AND EXAMPLES

A point  $x$  which is mapped to itself under a map  $f$ , so that  $f(x) = x$ , such points are sometimes also called as invariant points or fixed points.

**Definition 1:** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $f$  be self-map on  $X$ , then a point  $x \in X$  is called a fixed point of  $f$  if  $f(x) = x$ .

**Example 1:** If  $f$  is selfmap on real numbers defined by  $f(x) = x^2 - 3x + 4$ , then 2 is a fixed point of  $f$  because  $f(2) = 2$ .

**Example 2:**  $f(x) = x - 1$  has no fixed point as  $f(x) \neq x$  for any  $x$ .

**Definition 2:** A Metric Space is a pair  $(X, d)$ , where  $X$  is a set and  $d$  is a metric on  $X$  (or distance function on  $X$ ), that is a function defined on  $X \times X$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have

(M<sub>1</sub>)  $d$  is real-valued, finite and non negative.  
(non-negativeness)

(M<sub>2</sub>)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$   
(coincidence)

(M<sub>3</sub>)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  (symmetry)

(M<sub>4</sub>)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$   
(triangle inequality)

**Example 3:** The set of all real numbers, taken with the usual metric defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  is a Metric Space.

**Example 4:** If  $(X, d)$  is a Metric Space and  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $(A, d)$  is also a Metric Space.

**Definition 3:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a Metric Space, a sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if  $d(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 4:** A Metric Space  $(X, d)$  is said to be a 'Complete Metric Space' if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to a point of  $X$ .

**Example 5:** The space  $C[0, 1]$  of all continuous real valued function on  $[0, 1]$  with metric  $\rho$  defined by  $\rho(f, g) = \sup \{|f(x) - g(x)|; x \in [0, 1]\}$  is Complete Metric Space.

Khan M. S. et al. defined the altering distance as follows:

**Definition 5:** (Khan M. S. et al. [11]) An altering distance is a mapping

$f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which satisfies,

- (a)  $f$  is increasing and continuous, and
- (b)  $f(t) = 0$  if & only if  $t = 0$ .

**Definition 6:** A function  $\phi: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is said to satisfy condition (a) if

- (i)  $\phi$  is monotonic increasing in both the conditions
- (ii)  $\phi$  is continuous
- (iii)  $\phi(0, 0) = 0$  and  $\phi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$  implies  $\epsilon = 0$ .

Let  $\phi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$ . Then  $\phi(\epsilon, 0) \leq \phi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$  or  $\phi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$  which implies that  $\epsilon = 0$  by condition (iii).

Edelstein M. defined the contractive maps as follows

**Definition 7:** (Edelstein M. [7]) Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then a selfmap  $F$  of  $X$  is called contractive if for every  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$d(F_x, F_y) < d(x, y).$$

To obtain a fixed point it is necessary to add the assumption that there exists a point  $x \in X$  for which  $\{F_x^n\}$  contains a convergent subsequence.

Rhoades B. E. defined **weakly contractive maps** as below

**Definition 8:** (Rhoades B. E. [13]) Let  $X$  be any Banach space, then a selfmap  $F$  of  $X$  satisfies the Banach contraction principle, if there exists a constant  $\lambda$  with  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  such that for each  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\|F_x - F_y\| \leq \lambda \|x - y\|. \quad (1)$$

Mappings satisfying (1) possesses a unique fixed point, and the fixed point can be obtained by beginning at any point  $x \in X$  and then by the repeated iteration of map  $F$ .

The inequality (1) can be written as

$$\|F_x - F_y\| \leq \|x - y\| - p \|x - y\| \quad (2)$$

where  $p = a - \lambda$ .

The inequality (2) can be extended naturally to the weakly contractive map as below.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $S$  be a closed convex subset of  $X$ . Then a selfmap  $F$  of  $S$  is called weakly contractive if for every  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$\|F_x - F_y\| \leq \|x - y\| - \phi(\|x - y\|), \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and non-decreasing map satisfying  $\phi(x) > 0, \forall x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\phi(0) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ . If  $S$  is bounded, then the condition of infinity can be dropped.

## II. SOME THEOREMS

**Theorem 1:** Every continuous function from closed disk to itself has at least one fixed point.

**Theorem 2:** Every continuous function from closed ball of Euclidean space into itself has a fixed point.

**Theorem 3:** Every continuous function from convex compact subset  $X$  of a Euclidean space to  $X$  has a fixed point.

**Theorem 4:** Every continuous function from a Banach space  $X$  to  $X$  has a fixed point.

Rhoades B. E. proved the following fixed point theorem for weakly contractive maps.

**Theorem 5:** (Rhoades B. E. [13]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Metric Space. And for some  $\psi \in \Psi$ , let  $F$  be a  $\psi$ -weak contraction on  $X$ , then the map  $F$  has a unique fixed point.

Singh S. P. defined the contractive mapping as follows

**Definition 9:** (Singh S. P. [15]) Let  $F$  be self-map on Metric Space  $X$  then  $F$  is said to be contraction mapping if

$$\varrho(F(x), F(y)) \leq \gamma \varrho(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some real number  $\gamma$  such that  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

According to a prominent theorem of Banach, if  $X$  is a Complete Metric Space and if  $F$  is a selfmap on  $X$  that satisfies

$$\varrho(Fx, Fy) \leq \lambda \varrho(x, y) \quad (4)$$

for some  $\lambda < 1$  and for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point, say  $x_0$ . Moreover the successive approximations  $\{F_x^n\}$  converge to  $x_0$  for  $x \in X$ . However the condition

$$\varrho(F_x, F_y) < \varrho(x, y)$$

fails to ensure that  $F$  has a fixed point.

In the present paper we investigate some mappings that satisfy the condition

$$\varrho(F_x, F_y) \leq \phi(\varrho(x, y)),$$

where  $\phi$  is a mapping defined on the closure of the range of the function  $\varrho$ .

Banach proved the following theorem for fixed points.

**Theorem 6:** ([Banach] [1]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Metric Space and let  $F$  be a contraction on  $X$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point.

Dutta P. N. and Choudhary B. S. introduced a new generalization of the contraction principle and proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 7:** (Dutta P. N. and Choudhury B. S. [6]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Metric Space and let  $F$  be a selfmap on  $X$  which satisfies the condition

$$\phi(d(F_x, F_y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) - \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad (5)$$

for some  $\phi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point.

Skof F. proved the following theorem for fixed point:

**Theorem 8 :** (Skof F. [16]) Let  $F$  be a selfmap on a complete Metric Space  $(X, d)$  and let  $\phi \in \Phi$  satisfies the condition

$$\phi(d(F_x, F_y)) \leq \alpha \phi(d(x, y)) + \beta \phi(d(x, F_x)) + \gamma \phi(d(y, F_y)),$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ , and for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point.

Kannan R. proved the following theorem for fixed point.

**Theorem 9:** (Kannan R. [10]) Let  $F$  be a selfmap on the complete Metric Space  $X$  i.e.  $F: X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping for which the condition

$$d(F_x, F_y) \leq \lambda \{d(x, F_x) + d(y, F_y)\}, \quad (6)$$

hold for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , and  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $F$  has the unique fixed point in  $X$ .

Kannan R. proved the following theorem for fixed point.

**Theorem 10:** (Kannan R. [9]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Metric Space and let  $F: X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the condition

$$d(Fx, Fy) \leq r \{d(x, Fx) + d(y, Fy)\},$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $r \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

L.B. Ciric introduced the following notion of generalized contractions and proved the subsequent theorem.

**Theorem 11:** (Ciric [5]) Let  $F$  be a  $\lambda$ -generalized contraction of  $F$ -orbitally Complete Metric Space  $X$  into itself. Then

- 1) there is a unique point  $u \in X$  which is a fixed point under  $F$
- 2)  $F_x^n \rightarrow u$ , for every  $x \in X$
- 3)  $d(F_x^n, u) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d(x, Fx)$

Caccioppoli generalized Banach contraction principle for constant  $C_n$  as follows.

**Theorem 12:** (Caccioppoli [3]) extends the Banach principle for mapping  $F$  converge in a Complete Metric Space  $X$  provided that for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $C_n$  such that

$$d(F^n(x), F^n(y)) \leq C_n d(u, v)$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\sum C_n < \infty$  then  $F$  has a fixed point.

Rakotch, in 1962, generalized Banach contraction principle for monotonically decreasing function as follows:

**Theorem 13:** (Rakotch [14]) Let  $X$  be a complete Metric Space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  satisfies

$$f(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda(d(x, y), d(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda: R^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is monotonically decreasing, then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $\bar{x}$  and  $\{f^n(x)\}$  converges to  $\bar{x}$  for each  $x \in X^n$ .

Goebel K. and Kirk W. A. generalized following fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 14:** (Goebel K. and Kirk W. A. [8]) Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping from a complete Metric Space  $X$  to itself and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\delta(f_x, f_y))^p + r(\delta(x, f_x))^q]^k + \\ & [(\delta(y, f_y))^p + r(\delta(y, f_x^2))^q]^k \\ & \leq \lambda [(\delta(x, y))^p + r(\delta(x, f_x))^q]^k + \\ & \lambda' [(\delta(y, f_y))^p + r(\delta(y, f_y))^q]^k \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $x, y \in X$ ;  $p, k > 0$ ;  $r, q \geq 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda' \leq 1$ ,

then  $f$  has a fixed point.

### III. MAIN RESULTS FOR FIXED POINTS

By taking small values of  $p, q, r$  and  $k$ , consider  $p = q = r = k = 1$ , we have generalized the theorem 14 as follows:

**Theorem 15:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping from a complete Metric Space  $X$  to itself and which satisfies following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\delta(f_x, f_y), \delta(x, f_x)) + \Phi(\delta(y, f_y), \delta(y, f_x^2)) \\ & \leq \lambda \Phi(\delta(x, y), \delta(x, f_x)) + \\ & \lambda' \Phi(\delta(y, f_y), \delta(y, f_x)) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $x, y \in X$ ;  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda' \leq 1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof :** Let us consider  $x_0 \in X$  and consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by

$$x_n = f_{x_{n-1}} = f_{x_0}^n \quad (9)$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Putting  $y = f_x$  in (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), \delta(x, f_x)) + \Phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), \delta(f_x, f_x^2)) \\ & \leq \lambda \Phi(\delta(x, f_x), \delta(x, f_x)) + \\ & \lambda' \Phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), \delta(f_x, f_x)) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since  $0 < \lambda' \leq 1$  and  $\Phi$  satisfies the condition (b) in the definition 5, we have

$$\lambda' \phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), 0) \leq \lambda' \phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), \delta(f_x, f_x^2))$$

$$\leq \phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), \delta(f_x, f_x^2))$$

and from (10) we have

$$\phi(\delta(f_x, f_x^2), \delta(x, f_x)) \leq \lambda \phi(\delta(x, f_x), \delta(x, f_x))$$

$$\leq \phi(\delta(x, f_x), \delta(x, f_x)) \quad (11)$$

which implies that

$$\delta(f_x, f_x^2) \leq \delta(x, f_x) \quad (12)$$

Putting  $x = x_{n-1}$ , we have

$$0 \leq \delta(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \delta(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

This shows that the sequence  $\{\delta(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  converges.

$$\text{Let } \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p \text{ (say)} \quad (13)$$

Then from (11) by putting  $x = x_{n+1}$ , we have

$$\phi(\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n))$$

$$\leq \lambda \phi(\delta(x_{n-1}, x_n), \delta(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \quad (14)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\phi$  is continuous, then  $\phi(p, p) = 0$  which implies that  $p = 0$ .

$$\text{By (9), } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p \quad (15)$$

If there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider the subsequences  $\{x_{m(p)}\}$  and  $\{x_{n(p)}\}$  of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $m(p) < n(p)$ .

$$\therefore \delta(x_{n(p)}, x_{m(p)}) \leq \epsilon \quad (16)$$

As  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and using (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_{n(p)}, x_{m(p)-1}) = \epsilon.$$

As  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and consider (15),  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and by continuity of  $\phi$  then we have

$$\phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0) + \phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0)$$

$$\leq \lambda \phi(0, 0) + \lambda \phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0).$$

Which implies that

$$\phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0) \leq \lambda \phi(0, 0) \text{ in this case } 0 < \lambda' < 1$$

$$\leq \lambda \phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0)$$

using condition (ii).

$$\text{Similarly } \phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0) = 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

So that  $\delta(x, f_x) = 0$  by condition (ii) in definition 6.

$$\text{Similarly } \phi(\delta(x, f_x), 0) = 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

So that  $\delta(x, f_x) = 0$  by condition (iii) in definition 6.

Which implies that  $f_x = x$ .

This shows that  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

We also have generalized the Banach theorem 6 for weakly contractive maps as follows:

**Theorem 16:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete Metric Space, and  $F$  be the weakly contractive map. Then  $F$  has a unique fixed point  $t$  in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Firstly we shall establish the existence of the fixed point. Let us consider  $x_0 \in X$  and we define  $Fx_n = x_{n+1}$ .

Then from (3), we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = d(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$

$$\text{where } \lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n - \phi(\lambda_n) \leq \lambda_n \quad (17)$$

Therefore  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a non-negative non-increasing sequence and hence it possesses a limit  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Now we have to show that  $F$  is a selfmap of the ball  $B(x_n, \epsilon)$ . Let  $x \in B(x_n, \epsilon)$ , then we have two cases

Case I: Let  $d(x, x_n) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ ,

$$d(Fx, x_n) \leq d(Fx, Fx_n) + d(Fx_n, x_n)$$

$$\leq d(x, x_n) - \phi(d(x, x_n) +$$

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n))$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon.$$

Case II: Let  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} < d(x, x_n) \leq \epsilon$ , then

$$\phi(d(x, x_n)) \geq \phi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right),$$

therefore

$$d(Fx, x_n) \leq d(x, x_n) - \psi d(x, x_n) +$$

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq d(x, x_n) - \psi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$$

$$= d(x, x_n)$$

$$\leq \epsilon.$$

Since  $F$  is a selfmap of  $B(x_n, \epsilon)$ , it follows that each  $x_n \in B(x_n, \epsilon)$  for  $n > 0$ .

Now as  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence, and as  $X$  is complete, therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent. Again, the continuity of  $F$  implies that the limit is a fixed point. Finally the uniqueness of the fixed point follows from (3). Hence the proof.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have used Banach principle, selfmaps, contraction mapping to find out fixed point of selfmaps in Complete Metric Space.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are thankful to the editors and referees for their valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. Banach, S., "Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals", *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, pp 133-181, 1922.
2. Boyd, D. W. and Wong, J. S., "On nonlinear contractions", *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 20(2), pp 458-464, 1969.
3. Caccioppoli, "Untheorem a generale bull existence di element unitiunatrans functional", *Ahi Acad. NaLincai*, vol. 6(11), pp 794-809, 1930.
4. Chatterjee, S. K., "Fixed point theorems", *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, vol. 25, pp 727-730, 1972.
5. Ciric, L. B., "Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems", *Publications De L'Institut Mathematique Nouvelle Serie tome*, vol 12(26), pp19-26, 1971.
6. Dutta, P. N. and Choudhury, B. S., "A generalisation of contraction principle in metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, pp 6-12, 2008.
7. Edelstein, M., "On fixed and periodic points under contraction mappings", *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, vol. 37, pp 7479, 1962.
8. Goebel, K. and Kirk, W. A., "Topics in metric fixed point theory", *Cambridge University Press Cambridge*, 1990.
9. Kannan, R., "Some results on fixed points-II", *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 76(4), pp 405-408, 1969.
10. Kannan, R., "Some results on fixed points-III", *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, pp 169-177, 1969.
11. Khan, M. S., Swaleh, M. and Sessa, S., "Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points", *Bulletin of Australian Mathematical Soc.*, vol. 30, pp 1-9, 1984.
12. Nalawade V. V. and Dolhare U. P., "Importance of fixed points in mathematics", *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture*, vol. 2 (12), pp 131-140, Dec. 2016.
13. Rhoades, B. E., "Some theorems on weakly contractive maps", *Non-linear Analysis TMA*, vol. 47, No. 4, pp 2683-2693, 2001.
14. Rakotch, E., "A note on contractive mappings", *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society*, vol. 13, pp 459-465, 1962.
15. Singh, S. P., "Some theorems on fixed points", *Yokohama Math. Journal*, vol. 18, pp 23-25, 1970.
16. Skof, F., "Teorema di punti fisso per applicazioni negli spazi metrici", *Atti. Acad. Sci. Torino*, 111, pp 323-329, 1977.